

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
BIG STONE GAP DIVISION

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, \* CRIMINAL NO. 2:16-CR-00003  
Plaintiff, \* NOVEMBER 1, 2016 10:20 A.M.  
vs. \* COURT HEARING  
\* VOLUME I OF I  
\*  
\*  
JAMES MONROE COX, \* Before:  
Defendant. \* HONORABLE MICHAEL F. URBANSKI  
\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: MARTHA SUZANNE KERNEY-QUILLEN, ESQUIRE  
United States Attorney's Office  
180 West Main Street, Suite B19  
Abingdon, VA 24210

For the Defendant: NANCY C. DICKENSON, ESQUIRE  
Federal Public Defender's Office  
201 Abingdon Place  
Abingdon, VA 24211

Court Reporter: Judy K. Webb, RPR  
210 Franklin Road, S.W., Room 540  
Roanoke, Virginia 24010  
(540) 857-5100 Ext. 5333

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,  
transcript produced by computer.

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

**EXHIBIT INDEX****GOVERNMENT'S EXHIBITS:**      **MARKED**      **RECEIVED**

1

16

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 (Court convened at 10:20 a.m.)

2 THE COURT: Good morning. This case has been set  
3 down today before the Court for sentencing.

4 Is the United States ready to proceed?

5 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: We are, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Is the defendant ready to proceed?

7 MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, good morning. Should I  
8 speak to the Court from here?

9 THE COURT: That's fine, wherever you want.

10 MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, my client advises this  
11 morning that he does not recall the events that led to these  
12 criminal charges. He also indicates that he wishes to  
13 withdraw his guilty plea that was entered before Judge Sargent  
14 in Abingdon a few weeks ago.

15 By way of explanation, a representative of the  
16 Federal Public Defender's Office met with Mr. Cox last week  
17 and shared the presentence report with him. I again reviewed  
18 the presentence report with him this morning, and only this  
19 morning did he indicate his desire to withdraw the guilty  
20 plea.

21 Mr. Cox indicates that he does not remember entering  
22 the guilty plea. He indicated that he recalled signing a plea  
23 agreement; however, there was no plea agreement in this case.  
24 One was presented to him, but Mr. Cox did not sign a plea  
25 agreement.

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 I share that information with the Court and suggest  
2 that perhaps an additional evaluation of Mr. Cox by the  
3 Federal Bureau of Prisons to determine his competency or  
4 sanity may be appropriate at this time.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for that, Ms. Dickenson.

6 Let me ask you this: Why do you think we should  
7 order another evaluation? I've read the evaluation that was  
8 done this summer by the folks in Miami, where he was sent.  
9 Tell me why you think another evaluation might be prudent  
10 under 4241 and 4242 -- 4142 and -- well, I'll get it. I can't  
11 remember the numbers. But why do you think another evaluation  
12 would be prudent?

13 MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, I ask that on behalf of  
14 Mr. Cox because he indicates a lack of recollection.

15 THE COURT: 4241 and 4242. I'm sorry for misstating  
16 the numbers. Okay. All right.

17 Mr. Cox, did you hear what your lawyer just said?

18 THE DEFENDANT: I really don't understand lawyer  
19 talk.

20 THE COURT: You don't understand the lawyer talk.  
21 Okay. Now, as I understand it, in Abingdon you pled guilty to  
22 all five counts of this indictment, for sending these  
23 threatening letters. Do you remember doing that?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

25 THE COURT: Do you recall being in court, before

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 Judge Sargent, in Abingdon, and having a proceeding like this?

2 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember going down to  
4 Miami, to the federal detention center, and being evaluated by  
5 a psychologist?

6 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

7 THE COURT: You don't remember being sent down there  
8 this summer and talking to a bunch of doctors and being at a  
9 medical facility?

10 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember pleading guilty in  
12 this case?

13 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

14 THE COURT: Okay. You have told your lawyer that you  
15 don't remember anything about this and that you don't want to  
16 plead guilty; is that right?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

18 THE COURT: You want to withdraw your guilty plea; is  
19 that right?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Have you talked with your lawyer  
22 about being evaluated for your competency to stand trial and  
23 for your sanity at the time of the offense? Have you talked  
24 with your lawyer about that?

25 THE DEFENDANT: (No response.)

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1                   THE COURT: Ms. Dickenson, did you talk with him  
2 about that, or is that just your suggestion?

3                   MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, I did mention that to him  
4 earlier, that I would be requesting that on his behalf. He  
5 did not request it himself.

6                   THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cox, do you understand  
7 that your lawyer has asked that I send you off for an  
8 evaluation, to see whether or not you are competent to stand  
9 trial and whether or not you suffered from a mental illness or  
10 defect that would cause some concern with regard to your  
11 sanity at the time of the offense? Do you understand that?

12                  THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

13                  THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you have anything else you  
14 would like to say to the Court?

15                  THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

16                  THE COURT: Okay. Does the government --

17                  Thank you, Mr. Cox. You may sit down, sir. You may  
18 sit down.

19                  Does the government have any response? And tell me  
20 who you are. I'm sorry, I don't believe we have met.

21                  MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Your Honor, we have not. I'm  
22 Suzanne Kerney-Quillen. I'm a special assistant with the  
23 office in Abingdon.

24                  THE COURT: Okay.

25                  MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: And, your Honor, I certainly

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 understand Ms. Dickenson's position; however, our concern is  
2 that Mr. Cox is continuing to attempt to manipulate this  
3 Court. He has attempted to manipulate the proceedings  
4 throughout the pendency in that he has continued the criminal  
5 activity.

6 He has tried to manipulate the jail system through  
7 his behaviors at the jail to the extent that he has destroyed  
8 property. And he has also made statements to the jail that he  
9 will not eat or drink until he is transferred to the Bureau of  
10 Prisons.

11 So the United States has concerns that Mr. Cox is  
12 simply attempting to continue to manipulate the system in an  
13 effort to -- I'm not sure what his ultimate end goal is, but  
14 we believe he is simply attempting to manipulate this Court  
15 yet again.

16 THE COURT: Thank you for that.

17 Would the federal public defender like to make any  
18 further response?

19 MS. DICKENSON: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from probation.

21 Mr. McMurray --

22 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes.

23 THE COURT: -- you have heard what has transpired  
24 here in court this morning. Do you have any recommendation  
25 for the Court, sir?

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1                   THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, based on what was  
2 stated in court, a mental health evaluation appears to be the  
3 best course of action in probation's opinion.

4                   THE COURT: Even though we just had a mental health  
5 evaluation done in June, you think another mental health  
6 evaluation is appropriate?

7                   THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, sir, based on his  
8 statements. I know he was found competent during the last  
9 evaluation.

10                  THE COURT: And Judge Sargent found him competent at  
11 the time of the guilty plea hearing.

12                  THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, sir.

13                  THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you,  
14 Mr. McMurray.

15                  THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, sir.

16                  THE COURT: Out of an abundance of caution, the Court  
17 is going to allow the defendant to withdraw his plea of guilty  
18 to these five counts, and I'm going to order an evaluation  
19 under 4241 and 4242.

20                  I'm going to order that he be sent to the Federal  
21 Bureau of Prisons for an evaluation under 4241 and 4242. I'm  
22 going to send him off for another evaluation, and then we will  
23 come back and we will deal with the issue of competency once I  
24 get that evaluation from the Bureau of Prisons.

25                  Now, I understand and I appreciate the government's

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 argument; I understand what the government is saying. At the  
2 same time, I'm going to, out of an abundance of caution, to  
3 make sure that justice is being done in this case, let's just  
4 get a second medical forensic evaluation to see if there are  
5 some concerns about competency.

6 Obviously, based on what Mr. Cox has said this  
7 morning and the various positions he has taken in court, I  
8 have some concerns as regards his competency. And so based on  
9 that, and based on the request from counsel and the statements  
10 that were made here in open court today by the defendant, I'm  
11 going to order an evaluation under 4241 and 4242.

12 I'm also going to direct the Bureau of Prisons that  
13 if he is not found to be competent, I don't want him returned  
14 to this district; I want him to remain in the custody of the  
15 Bureau of Prisons. If the BOP finds him not to be competent,  
16 we will hold a competency hearing with Mr. Cox present by  
17 video.

18 I don't want him to be transported around. That's a  
19 huge disruption in his life and a huge burden on the Marshals  
20 Service. I'm going to put in the order that if his evaluation  
21 is determined that he is not competent, then I want him to  
22 stay at the BOP. We will hold him wherever he is, Butner or  
23 Devens or Miami. Wherever they send him, we'll have him stay  
24 there, we'll have another hearing with him appearing by video,  
25 and then the Court will make a competency finding, and then at

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 that time, we'll decide what to do.

2 Any objections from the United States?

3 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Your Honor, if I may. I  
4 understand the Court's ruling with regard to ordering another  
5 psychological evaluation. We certainly understand that, given  
6 the statements that Mr. Cox has made this morning in court.  
7 However, would the Court consider deferring your decision with  
8 regards to allowing him to withdraw the plea of guilty until a  
9 finding has been made to his competency?

10 THE COURT: No. What I want to do is send him off  
11 for evaluation, and if he comes back and he is found to be  
12 competent, I want to do another guilty plea hearing myself. I  
13 want to do that.

14 I didn't have the benefit -- I mean, he appeared  
15 before Judge Sargent. I have every confidence in Judge  
16 Sargent and that she did the proper Rule 11 colloquy and she  
17 made her findings. But given what happened this morning,  
18 since I'm the one obligated to impose any sentence in this  
19 case, I want to hear from him myself.

20 I'm going to allow him to withdraw his guilty plea at  
21 this time. We'll send him off for a 4241 and 4242 evaluation  
22 so that the speedy trial clock is tolled, and we will -- when  
23 he comes back, we will see how he wants to plead and we'll go  
24 from there.

25 Do you have any problem with that, Ms. Dickenson?

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 MS. DICKENSON: No, Your Honor. I think that is  
2 appropriate.

3 THE COURT: I do too. Mental health issues are fluid  
4 and we just don't know, and I want to make sure that we do  
5 right by Mr. Cox and by our system of justice and by the  
6 United States to make sure we're doing what is appropriate in  
7 his case. And I think a second opinion on an evaluation, all  
8 things considered, given the nature of the charges, given what  
9 happened in Abingdon, his guilty plea, given what has happened  
10 today, given the issues that you have described,  
11 Ms. Dickenson, with the FPD's office dealing with Mr. Cox,  
12 given what I have heard today, given my review of the  
13 presentence report, I believe -- and his long history of  
14 criminality -- I mean, he has been, basically, in the criminal  
15 justice system his whole life.

16 When he was released from the Bureau of Prisons after  
17 his threats on the president, it was less than two weeks later  
18 that he engaged in this bank robbery activity that led to the  
19 state sentence where he is now. So I think we need to all do  
20 what we can to make sure that we're doing right by the  
21 government, by Mr. Cox, and to protect the public, so I'm  
22 going to do that.

23 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Anything further from the government?

25 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: No, Your Honor.

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 THE COURT: Anything further from you, Ms. Dickenson?

2 MS. DICKENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

3 Your Honor, I would ask that the Court recommend  
4 designation to the facility in Miami where Mr. Cox was most  
5 recently evaluated. I ask for this in particular because my  
6 experience has been, Your Honor, that the various Bureau of  
7 Prisons facilities do not share information.

8 THE COURT: Okay. I think that makes good sense.

9 Let's get the folks with the medical history, who have  
10 evaluated him, let's send him back there.

11 Now, I don't intend to do this a third time. You  
12 know, basically, this is belt and suspenders. We will get him  
13 another evaluation and see what they say, and if the  
14 evaluation comes back the same, we will proceed, okay, because  
15 I do not want to be in a situation where he is simply gaming  
16 the system.

17 But I'm going to give the benefit of the doubt right  
18 now and out of an abundance of caution order a second  
19 evaluation. I realize that's a cost and burden to the  
20 government, but I believe that justice requires it in this  
21 case, and that's what I will order. I will designate the  
22 federal detention center in Miami where his last evaluation  
23 was done.

24 MS. DICKENSON: Additionally, Your Honor, on behalf  
25 of my client I do make the unusual request that the Court

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 direct that Mr. Cox not be given access to the mail while he  
2 is incarcerated further, except for letters to counsel. A  
3 request was made to the magistrate judge and it was denied. I  
4 think it is in Mr. Cox's best interest that he not have access  
5 to the United States mail.

6 THE COURT: Tell me why. Explain to me why you're  
7 making that request.

8 MS. DICKENSON: Your Honor, the government would  
9 present evidence that since Mr. Cox entered his guilty plea in  
10 Abingdon, Mr. Cox has mailed letters of what could be  
11 perceived as a threatening nature. We believe that Mr. Cox  
12 would be best served by not having access to the United States  
13 mail.

14 THE COURT: You mean additional letters beyond the  
15 ones set forth in the superseding indictment?

16 MS. DICKENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Were those ones that  
18 came after he appeared before Judge Sargent?

19 MS. DICKENSON: I believe there are some both before  
20 and after, including letters to this Court.

21 THE COURT: Okay. All right, Ms. Dickenson.

22 What's the position of the United States on this?

23 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Your Honor, I understand  
24 Ms. Dickenson's concern, and just for clarity of the record,  
25 the letters that were directed to the courthouse were just to

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 the courthouse itself. There were no letters addressed to  
2 Your Honor. I just wanted to make that clear for the record.

3 But Mr. Cox has submitted four -- excuse me, mailed  
4 four letters since his plea of guilty, one in August, one in  
5 September, and two in October. So he has continued to write  
6 these letters. I know that that request had been made  
7 previously, so I would not have an objection to it.

8 THE COURT: Who are these letters directed towards?

9 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: The first letter was to a  
10 chemical company in Nashville, Tennessee; the second letter  
11 was to Carilion Hospital here in Roanoke; the last two letters  
12 were addressed to the Poff Building. They were addressed  
13 inside to judges and prosecutors, and that's what both of  
14 those letters were addressed to. The last two letters were  
15 intercepted at the jail because they were covered in blood.  
16 But I do have copies of those if Your Honor would like to see  
17 those.

18 THE COURT: Well, do you think those should be made  
19 as exhibits?

20 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Your Honor, I would --

21 THE COURT: We'll mark those as Exhibit 1,  
22 Government's Exhibit 1 to this hearing.

23 Does the government join in the request that Mr. Cox  
24 not be given access to the mail except to communicate with  
25 counsel?

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: We would, Your Honor.

2 (Government's Exhibit Number 1 was marked and received.)

3 THE COURT: Okay. You know, this red you're saying  
4 is blood?

5 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: That's my understanding from the  
6 jail, Your Honor, those two are blood.

7 THE COURT: And these are copies, right?

8 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: Those are copies. They  
9 destroyed the originals, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: We'll mark these as Government's Exhibit  
11 1.

12 I'm going to grant Mr. Cox's motion, filed by the  
13 federal public defender, that he not be given access to the  
14 United States mail, except he may communicate by mail with his  
15 counsel only.

16 MS. DICKENSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Dickenson.

18 Anything else from the government?

19 MS. KERNEY-QUILLEN: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Anything else from you, Ms. Dickenson?

21 MS. DICKENSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Cox, do you have anything you want to  
23 say?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Well, listen, we're going to send you off

U.S.A. v. Cox - 11/1/16

1 for further evaluation. I wish you the very best of luck on  
2 that evaluation. I hope you do well.

3 Ask the marshal to declare a recess.

4 (Court recessed at 10:39 a.m.)

5

6 CERTIFICATE

7 I, Judy K. Webb, certify that the foregoing is a  
8 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in  
9 the above-entitled matter.

10

11 /s/ Judy K. Webb

Date: 3/29/2017

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25